

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested. Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 are pending and at issue.

The Examiner has required restriction to one of the following groups:

Group I: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is  $-C(O)NR^4$ , X is O or  $S(O)_m$  and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group II: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is  $-NR^4SO_2$ , X is O or  $S(O)_m$  and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group III: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is  $-SO_2NR^4$ , X is O or  $S(O)_m$  and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group IV: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is  $-NR^4C(O)$ , X is O or  $S(O)_m$  and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group V: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is  $-C(O)NR^4$ , X is  $-NR^5$  and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;


Group VI: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is  $-NR^4SO_2$ , X is  $-NR^5$  and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group VII: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein is  $-\text{SO}_2\text{NR}^4$ , X is  $-\text{NR}^5$  and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1;

Group VIII: Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), drawn to products and methods of making and using the compound of formula I, wherein Y is  $-\text{NR}^4\text{C}(\text{O})$ , X is  $-\text{NR}^5$  and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1.

Applicants respectfully traverse this restriction. Applicants request that the claims of Group V be rejoined with the claims of Group I. The structural components of the compounds in Group V are the same as that in Group I, with the exception of X, which is  $-\text{NR}^5$  in Group V, and O or  $\text{S}(\text{O})_m$  in Group I.

The Examiner contends that Groups I and V lack a special technical feature because they fail to define a contribution over O'Brien (WO 98/09934). O'Brien discloses compounds having the formula



In contrast, the claims of Groups I and V are directed to tricyclic compounds where the right ring group is substituted with an amide group ( $-\text{CONR}^4$ ) and a  $-\text{P}-\text{R}^1$  group where P is oxygen or sulfur. O'Brien does not disclose or suggest tricyclic compounds having this combination of substituents on the right ring group. Groups I and V, therefore, share a special technical feature over the prior art and, therefore, do not lack unity.

Accordingly, applicants request rejoinder of the claims of Groups I and V.

In order to be fully responsive, applicants provisionally elect the claims of Group I (claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94).

In view of the above remarks, applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: June 30, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By \_\_\_\_\_

Jay P. Lessler

Registration No.: 41,151  
DARBY & DARBY P.C.  
P.O. Box 5257  
New York, New York 10150-5257  
(212) 527-7700  
(212) 527-7701 (Fax)  
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant